

Comment on “Epidemiological modeling of online social network dynamics”

Kazuyuki FUJII *

International College of Arts and Sciences

Yokohama City University

Yokohama, 236–0027

Japan

Abstract

In this comment we give a simple analytic approximate solution to the infectious recovery SIR (irSIR) model given by J. Cannarella and J. A. Spechler [1], which is a variant of the traditional SIR model.

Keywords : online social network, infectious recovery SIR model, analytic approximate solution

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010 : 92Dxx, 91D30

Let us make a brief review of [1] within our necessity. The traditional SIR model (dynamics) is given by three variables $\{S = S(t), I = I(t), R = R(t)\}$ and a set of differential equations

*E-mail address : fujii@yokohama-cu.ac.jp

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{S} &= -\frac{\beta IS}{N} \\
\dot{I} &= \frac{\beta IS}{N} - \gamma I \\
\dot{R} &= \gamma I
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where β and γ are constants. The meaning of variables S, I, R is respectively S (susceptible), I (infected) and R (recovered) and the population $N = S + I + R$ is independent of time.

In order to fit from the spread of infections disease to the spread of online social networks Cannarella and Spechler changed (1) to be

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{S} &= -\frac{\beta IS}{N} \\
\dot{I} &= \frac{\beta IS}{N} - \frac{\nu IR}{N} \\
\dot{R} &= \frac{\nu IR}{N}
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where β and ν are new constants. They called this the infectious recovery SIR model (dynamics).

In the model there is no analytic solution (maybe), so they solved it **at once** by use of a numerical method. Although it is not bad indeed we should study (2) in detail from the mathematical point of view.

Let us rewrite (2) like

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\dot{S}}{S} &= -\frac{\beta}{N}I \\
\frac{\dot{I}}{I} &= \frac{\beta}{N}S - \frac{\nu}{N}R \\
\frac{\dot{R}}{R} &= \frac{\nu}{N}I.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if we set

$$S(t) = e^{s(t)}, \quad I(t) = e^{i(t)}, \quad R(t) = e^{r(t)}$$

then (2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{s} &= -\frac{\beta}{N}e^i \\ \dot{i} &= \frac{\beta}{N}e^s - \frac{\nu}{N}e^r \\ \dot{r} &= \frac{\nu}{N}e^i.\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

Here, we use a simple approximation

$$e^x = 1 + x\tag{4}$$

in the following. Then (3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{s} &= -\frac{\beta}{N}(1 + i) \\ \dot{i} &= \frac{\beta}{N}(1 + s) - \frac{\nu}{N}(1 + r) \\ \dot{r} &= \frac{\nu}{N}(1 + i)\end{aligned}$$

and we have the vector equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} s \\ i \\ r \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{N} \begin{pmatrix} -\beta \\ \beta - \nu \\ \nu \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{N} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\beta & 0 \\ \beta & 0 & -\nu \\ 0 & \nu & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s \\ i \\ r \end{pmatrix}.\tag{5}$$

Moreover, since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\beta & 0 \\ \beta & 0 & -\nu \\ 0 & \nu & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\beta \\ \beta - \nu \\ \nu \end{pmatrix}$$

if we set

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{s} \\ \tilde{i} \\ \tilde{r} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} s \\ i \\ r \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\tag{6}$$

then the equation (5) becomes a clear vector equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{s} \\ \tilde{i} \\ \tilde{r} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{N} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\beta & 0 \\ \beta & 0 & -\nu \\ 0 & \nu & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{s} \\ \tilde{i} \\ \tilde{r} \end{pmatrix}.\tag{7}$$

The solution is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{s}(t) \\ \tilde{i}(t) \\ \tilde{r}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \exp \left\{ \frac{t}{N} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\beta & 0 \\ \beta & 0 & -\nu \\ 0 & \nu & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{s}(0) \\ \tilde{i}(0) \\ \tilde{r}(0) \end{pmatrix}$$

and from (6) we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} s(t) \\ i(t) \\ r(t) \end{pmatrix} = \left[\exp \left\{ \frac{t}{N} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\beta & 0 \\ \beta & 0 & -\nu \\ 0 & \nu & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \exp \left\{ \frac{t}{N} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\beta & 0 \\ \beta & 0 & -\nu \\ 0 & \nu & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \begin{pmatrix} s(0) \\ i(0) \\ r(0) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

The remaining task is to calculate the exponential. For the purpose let us list the following

Formula

$$\exp \left\{ t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x & 0 \\ x & 0 & -y \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2} \times \begin{pmatrix} y^2 + x^2 \cos(t\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) & -x\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \sin(t\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) & xy(1 - \cos(t\sqrt{x^2 + y^2})) \\ x\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \sin(t\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) & (x^2 + y^2) \cos(t\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) & -y\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \sin(t\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) \\ xy(1 - \cos(t\sqrt{x^2 + y^2})) & y\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \sin(t\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) & x^2 + y^2 \cos(t\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The proof is a simple exercise of undergraduates, [2], [3].

By setting

$$x = \frac{\beta}{N}, \quad y = \frac{\nu}{N} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi = \sqrt{\beta^2 + \nu^2}$$

the formula gives

$$\exp \left\{ \frac{t}{N} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\beta & 0 \\ \beta & 0 & -\nu \\ 0 & \nu & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} = \frac{1}{\phi^2} \begin{pmatrix} \nu^2 + \beta^2 \cos(t\frac{\phi}{N}) & -\beta\phi \sin(t\frac{\phi}{N}) & \beta\nu(1 - \cos(t\frac{\phi}{N})) \\ \beta\phi \sin(t\frac{\phi}{N}) & \phi^2 \cos(t\frac{\phi}{N}) & -\nu\phi \sin(t\frac{\phi}{N}) \\ \beta\nu(1 - \cos(t\frac{\phi}{N})) & \nu\phi \sin(t\frac{\phi}{N}) & \beta^2 + \nu^2 \cos(t\frac{\phi}{N}) \end{pmatrix}$$

and from (8) it is easy to see the following

$$\begin{aligned}
s(t) &= \frac{\beta\nu - \beta^2}{\phi^2}(1 - \cos(t\frac{\phi}{N})) - \frac{\beta}{\phi}\sin(t\frac{\phi}{N}) + \\
&\quad \frac{\nu^2 + \beta^2 \cos(t\frac{\phi}{N})}{\phi^2}s(0) - \frac{\beta \sin(t\frac{\phi}{N})}{\phi}i(0) + \frac{\beta\nu(1 - \cos(t\frac{\phi}{N}))}{\phi^2}r(0), \\
i(t) &= \cos(t\frac{\phi}{N}) - 1 + \frac{\beta - \nu}{\phi}\sin(t\frac{\phi}{N}) + \\
&\quad \frac{\beta \sin(t\frac{\phi}{N})}{\phi}s(0) + \cos(t\frac{\phi}{N})i(0) - \frac{\nu \sin(t\frac{\phi}{N})}{\phi}r(0), \\
r(t) &= \frac{\beta\nu - \nu^2}{\phi^2}(1 - \cos(t\frac{\phi}{N})) + \frac{\nu}{\phi}\sin(t\frac{\phi}{N}) + \\
&\quad \frac{\beta\nu(1 - \cos(t\frac{\phi}{N}))}{\phi^2}s(0) + \frac{\nu \sin(t\frac{\phi}{N})}{\phi}i(0) + \frac{\beta^2 + \nu^2 \cos(t\frac{\phi}{N})}{\phi^2}r(0).
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Let us summarize our result.

Result Our analytic approximate solution to (2) is given by

$$S(t) = e^{s(t)}, \quad I(t) = e^{i(t)}, \quad R(t) = e^{r(t)} \tag{10}$$

with (9).

To check the validity of the approximate solution is left to some readers ¹.

We conclude this note with one comment. Our approximation (4) ($e^x = 1 + x$) is too simple, so better one is

$$e^x = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2}.$$

In general, there is no need to add further higher order terms from $\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}x^n$ because of non-linearity of the original equation. However, even in this case we don't know how to solve the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{s} &= -\frac{\beta}{N} \left(1 + i + \frac{i^2}{2} \right) \\
\dot{i} &= \frac{\beta}{N} \left(1 + s + \frac{s^2}{2} \right) - \frac{\nu}{N} \left(1 + r + \frac{r^2}{2} \right) \\
\dot{r} &= \frac{\nu}{N} \left(1 + i + \frac{i^2}{2} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

¹The author doesn't have skill of fitting of curves in the numerical analysis

explicitly at the present time.

This is a challenging problem for young students.

References

- [1] J. Cannarella and J. A. Spechler : Epidemiological modeling of online social network dynamics, arXiv:1401.4208 [cs.SI].
- [2] K. Fujii and H. Oike : How to calculate the exponential of matrices, Far East Journal of Mathematical Education, 9(2012), 39-55, quant-ph/0604115.
- [3] K. Fujii and et al : **Treasure Box of Mathematical Sciences** (in Japanese), Yuseisha, Tokyo, 2010.

I expect that the book will be translated into English.